

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
AT KNOXVILLE

ROBERT T. STOOKSBURY, JR.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	No. 3:09-CV-498-TAV-HBG
	)	
MICHAEL L. ROSS, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

This case is before the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Rules of this Court, and the referral of the Chief District Judge. The Receiver has filed his Thirty-Fourth Application for Interim Compensation and Expense Reimbursement [Doc. 1519].

Sterling P. Owen, IV, as Receiver, moves the Court to approve payment to the Receiver for the reasonable and necessary services he rendered between March 1 and March 31, 2015, in the amount of \$10,592.75, which represents \$10,543.75 in fees and \$49.00 in direct expenses. The Receiver represents that as of March 31, 2015, the Receiver was in possession of \$299,127.47. The Receiver also maintains a separate rent account, which he represents has a balance of \$178,966.11, as of March 31, 2015.

With the instant request, the Receiver has submitted an Invoice of Services rendered in March 2015, detailing the time spent on various tasks in furtherance of the duties established by the Court. [Doc. 1519-1]. He has also submitted invoices for the same period, detailing the out-of-pocket expenses the Receiver incurred in furtherance of these duties. [Doc. 1519-2]. The Court has reviewed the invoices and finds that they are reasonable.

The Receiver's Thirty-Fourth Application was filed April 6, 2015, and his request and the supporting invoices have been available for review to the parties. No party or interested person has objected to the compensation and expenses submitted. The time for doing so has expired. See, generally, E.D. Tenn. L.R. 7.1. The Court may treat this failure to respond as acquiescence to the relief sought. See E.D. Tenn. L.R. 7.2.

Accordingly, the Court finds that the Receiver's Thirty-Fourth Application [**Doc. 1519**] is well-taken, and the undersigned **RECOMMENDS**<sup>1</sup> that it be **GRANTED**. The Court finds the compensation and expenses requested by the Receiver are **REASONABLE** and **RECOMMENDS** that they be **APPROVED**. The undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that the Receiver be directed to **DISBURSE: \$10,592.75**, requested as compensation for services and reimbursement for expenses for the period from March 1 to March 31, 2015.

Respectfully Submitted,

  
United States Magistrate Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> Any objections to this Report and Recommendation must be served and filed within fourteen (14) days after service of a copy of this recommended disposition on the objecting party. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). Such objections must conform to the requirements of Rule 72(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Failure to file objections within the time specified waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 106 S. Ct. 466 (1985). The district court need not provide de novo review where objections to this report and recommendation are frivolous, conclusive or general. Mira v. Marshall, 806 F.2d 636 (6th Cir. 1986). Only specific objections are reserved for appellate review. Smith v. Detroit Federation of Teachers, 829 F.2d 1370 (6th Cir. 1987).